

**Committee:** Planning Applications

**Date:** 5<sup>th</sup> Sep 2013

Agenda item: 18

**Wards:** All

## **Subject:** Planning Appeal Decisions

Lead officer: Head of Public Protection and Development

Lead member: Chair, Planning Applications Committee

**Contact officer:** Stuart Humphryes

### **Recommendation:**

**That Members note the contents of the report.**

#### **1. PURPOSE OF REPORT AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

1.1 For Members' information recent decisions made by Inspectors appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government in respect of recent Town Planning Appeals are set out below.

1.2 The relevant Inspectors decision letters are not attached to this report, but can be seen on the Council web-site with the other agenda papers for this meeting at the following link:

[http://www.merton.gov.uk/council/committee.htm?view=committee&com\\_id=165](http://www.merton.gov.uk/council/committee.htm?view=committee&com_id=165)

---

### **DETAILS**

1.1 Application number:	<b>12/P1991</b>
Site:	R.O. 34 Elmhurst Avenue, Mitcham CR4 2HN
Ward:	Graveney
Development:	Demolish existing garage and form new building to create granny annexe
Recommendation:	Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)
Appeal Decision:	<b>DISMISSED</b>
Date of Appeal Decision:	8 <sup>th</sup> August 2013

### **Link to Appeal Decision**

[http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000077000/1000077731/12P1991\\_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf](http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000077000/1000077731/12P1991_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf)

---

## **DETAILS**

- 1.2 Application number: **12/P1576**  
Site: 21 Eastfields Road, Mitcham CR4 2LS  
Ward: Figges Marsh  
Development: Demolition of 2-bed house & adjacent 40 garages for erection of 2 x 3-storey buildings providing 25 flats with off street parking  
  
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Committee Decision)  
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**  
Date of Appeal Decision: 8<sup>th</sup> August 2013

### **Link to Appeal Decision**

[http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000077000/1000077339/12P1576\\_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf](http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000077000/1000077339/12P1576_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf)

---

## **DETAILS**

- 1.3 Application number: **12/P2365**  
Site: 20 Jonson Close, Mitcham CR4 1DP  
Ward: Pollards Hill  
Development: Erection of end of terrace house and single storey rear extension  
  
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)  
Appeal Decision: **DISMISSED**  
Date of Appeal Decision: 15<sup>th</sup> August 2013

### **Link to Appeal Decision**

[http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000078000/1000078072/12P2365\\_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf](http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000078000/1000078072/12P2365_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf)

---

## **DETAILS**

- 1.4 Application number: **12/P2585**  
Site: 4 Ridley Road, London SW19 1EU  
Ward: Trinity  
Development: Erection of 1.8 metre glazed side screen and rear bi-folding doors at first floor level  
  
Recommendation: Refuse Permission (Delegated Decision)  
Appeal Decision: **ALLOWED**  
Costs Decision: Refused  
Date of Appeal Decision: 15<sup>th</sup> August 2013

### **Link to Appeal Decision**

[http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000078000/1000078283/12P2585\\_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf](http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000078000/1000078283/12P2585_Appeal%20Decision%20Notice.pdf)

---

### **Link to Costs Decision**

[http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000078000/1000078283/12P2585\\_Appeal%20Costs%20Decision.pdf](http://planning.merton.gov.uk/MVM.DMS/Planning%20Application/1000078000/1000078283/12P2585_Appeal%20Costs%20Decision.pdf)

---

## **1 ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS**

- 3.1 The appeal decision is final unless it is successfully challenged in the Courts. If a challenge is successful, the appeal decision will be quashed and the case returned to the Secretary of State for re-determination. It does not follow necessarily that the original appeal decision will be reversed when it is re-determined.
  
- 3.2 The Council may wish to consider taking legal advice before embarking on a challenge. The following applies: Under the provision of Section 288 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990, or Section 63 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, a person or an establishment who is aggrieved by a decision may seek to have it quashed by making an application to the High Court on the following grounds: -
  1. That the decision is not within the powers of the Act; or
  2. That any of the relevant requirements have not been complied with; (relevant requirements means any requirements of the 1990 Act or of the Tribunal's Land Enquiries Act 1992, or of any Order, Regulation or Rule made under those Acts).

## **2 CONSULTATION UNDERTAKEN OR PROPOSED**

- 2.1. None required for the purposes of this report.

## **3 TIMETABLE**

- 3.1. N/A

## **4 FINANCIAL, RESOURCE AND PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS**

- 4.1. There are financial implications for the Council in respect of appeal decisions where costs are awarded against the Council.

## **5 LEGAL AND STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS**

- 5.1. An Inspector's decision may be challenged in the High Court, within 6 weeks of the date of the decision letter (see above).

## **6 HUMAN RIGHTS, EQUALITIES AND COMMUNITY COHESION IMPLICATIONS**

- 6.1. None for the purposes of this report.

## **7 CRIME AND DISORDER IMPLICATIONS**

- 7.1. None for the purposes of this report.

## **8 RISK MANAGEMENT AND HEALTH AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS**

- 8.1. See 6.1 above.

## **9 BACKGROUND PAPERS**

- 9.1. The papers used to compile this report are the Council's Development Control service's Town Planning files relating to the sites referred to above and the agendas and minutes of the Planning Applications Committee where relevant.